

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,134	10/007,134 12/04/2001		Catherine M. Peyne	60937-123-US	3734
24341	7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER	
MORGAN	LEWIS	& BOCKIUS, LLF	MALDONAI	MALDONADO, JULIO J	
2 PALO AL	TO SQUA	ARE .		<u> </u>	
3000 EL CA	MINO RE	EAL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO	D, CA 9	4306	2823		

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ri'				
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	 				
Office Action Summany		10/007	',134	PEYNE ET AL.	<u>. </u>				
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit					
			Maldonado	2823					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	is				
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI missions of time may be available under the provisions r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 D period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 April 2005	j <u>.</u>						
	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)□	· <u> </u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			·					
4)	Claim(s) 46-73 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a:	re withdrawn from	consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 46-55 and 58-69 is/are allo	wed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>56,57 and 70-73</u> is/are reje	cted.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		•					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) objected to t	y the Examiner.	•				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	of the priority docur	ments have been i	•	је				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • •	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)					
	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P			/Mail Date	.				
inton ليا (د Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	P1O/SB/08)	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152 	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/007,134

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 56, 57 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwata et al. (U.S. 5,846,695).

lwata et al. teach a cleaning composition consisting essentially of choline hydroxide compound; 0.1 to 4 percent by weight of hydroxylamine; and water (column 3, line 18 – column 4, line 31).

lwata et al. fail to teach wherein the hydroxylamine percent is from about 2 to about 12 percent by weight. However, in the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists.

MPEP 2144.05. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the concentration range disclosed in Iwata et al. to arrive at the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 46-55 and 58-69 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach from a cleaning composition having an organic solvent selected from the group consisting of dimethyl sulfoxide, ethylene

Art Unit: 2823

glycol, ethylene glycol alkyl ether, diethylene glycol alkyl ether, triethylene glycol alkyl ether, propylene glycol, propylene glycol alkyl ether, N-substituted pyrrolidone, ethylene diamine and ethylene triamine.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 04/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants arguments, the term "consisting essentially limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention". MPEP 2111.03 [R-2]. Taking this into consideration, there is no indication in the in the instant specification or claims that the inclusion of sugar or sugar alcohols after the basic and novel characteristics of the invention and furthermore, the rejection is not based on the obviousness of excluding sugar or sugar alcohols of Iwata et al.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/007,134

Art Unit: 2823

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to examiner Julio J. Maldonado whose telephone number

is (571) 272-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax number for this

group is 703-872-9306 for before final submissions, 703-872-9306 for after final

submissions and the customer service number for group 2800 is (703) 306-3329.

Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

Julio J. Maldonado Patent Examiner Art Unit 2823

Page 4

Julio J. Maldonado July 1, 2005

Primary Examiner